MOTOR VEHICLE CRIME PREVENTION AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

OPEN MEETING VIA TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL PURSUANT TO GOVERNOR'S MARCH 16, 2020, TEMPORARY SUSPENSION OF CERTAIN OPEN MEETING PROVISIONS

9:02 a.m. Thursday, February 25, 2021

BOARD MEMBERS:

Tommy Hansen, Chair
Phillip Shay Gause
Ashley Hunter
Armin Mizani
Sharon Jones
Miguel "Mike" Rodriguez
Katherine "Kit" Whitehall

STAFF:

Bryan Wilson, Director David Richards, General Counsel

I N D E X

AGEN	DA ITEM	PAGE
1.	CALL TO ORDER A. Roll Call and Establishment of Quorum	7
	B. Pledges	8
	<pre>C. Approval of Transcripts as Minutes - Transcript from July 9, 2020</pre>	9
	D. Comments from Chairman and Board Members	10
	E. Commendations and Congratulations	10
BRIE	FING AND ACTION ITEMS	
2.	Discuss and consider insurance refund request for Park Wood Risk Retention Group	12
3.	 Review FY2021 budget and consider for approval A. Unexpended Balance carryforward from FY2020 into FY2021 B. Allocate Funds for Rapid Response Strikeforce Grants C. Establish Priorities for Issuance (i.e Overtime, Border/port, Fraud related Motor Vehicle crime, Interdiction equipment/resource etc.) 	
4.	Discuss and consider issuance of FY2021 Rapid Response Strikeforce Grant Process and Forms	18
5.	Discuss and consider authority for the MVCPA Director to finalize and issue the FY2022-2023 Taskforce Grant Request for Applications after discussion of the following items: A. Application and Timing of new Texas Grant Management Standards Issued by Comptroller 43 effective January 2022 B. Report on Grantee and Stakeholder Survey	31
	Results	47
	 C. Scoring and Distribution Standards D. Applicant Eligibility Standards E. Priority Funding F. Match Ratios and Amounts G. Grant Types i. Taskforce Grants 	49 50 50 50
	H. Discuss other grant types for future consideration if funds become available: i. Motor Vehicle Crime Auxiliary Grants ii. Community Oriented Solutions (COS) Grants	58 59 60

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

87

	<pre>iii. Motor Vehicle Fraud Related Crime Grants iv. Prosecution Grants v. Technology Grants</pre>	61
6.	Discuss and Consider Adoption of the Legislative Appropriations Request and Exceptional Items Requested from the 87th Legislature	64
7.	Discuss and Consider Adoption of the Legislative Recommendations Adopted by the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles Board and Submitted to 87th Legislature by TxDMV for the 87th - Insurance Collections Improvement and Texas Department of Transportation Reporting	67
MVCP	A DIRECTOR'S REPORTS	
8.	Reports on MVCPA-related activities identified by the Director as noteworthy, which may include reports on: A. Budget B. Grant Activities and Analysis C. Grant Adjustments D. Public Education and Public Awareness Program and Activities E. MVCPA Law Enforcement Training F. Assessment Collection Activities G. Agency Operations and COVID Impact H. Activity and Funds Report	70
9.	Public Comment	84
10.	EXECUTIVE SESSION The Authority may enter into closed session under one or more of the following provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act, Government Code, Chapter 551: A. Section 551.071 B. Section 551.074 C. Section 551.076 D. Section 551.089	one
11.	Action Items from Executive Session	

12. Adjournment

2.3

PROCEEDINGS

MR. HANSEN: Good morning, everybody. What a difference a week makes. Welcome to all our taskforce members, board members, staff and visitors.

My name is Tommy Hansen, and I'm pleased to open the meeting for the Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority. According to my computer it is 9:02 a.m.

I'm now calling the board meeting for February 25, 2021 to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Secretary of State's Office on February 17, 2021.

This meeting is being held by telephone conference call in accordance with Texas Government Code Chapter 551, as temporarily modified under Governor Greg Abbott's authority to suspend certain statutes due to COVID-19. Governor Abbott suspended various provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act that require government officials and members of the public to physically be present at specified meeting locations.

Under that suspension, the public will not be able to physically attend this meeting in person.

Instead, the public may attend this meeting by using the link or by calling in the toll-free number, which are both posted on our agenda which was filed with the Secretary of

State's Office on July 1, 2020 [sic].

2.3

All members, including myself, will be participating remotely via Webex. At this time please mute your phones for the entire duration of this meeting. I am asking our Webex meeting host to make sure that all participants' phones are muted and that their video is turned off, except for members and those that are presenting. Callers will be removed for any disruption, including background noise.

I would like to remind all participants that this is a telephone conference call meeting. Because this meeting is being held by telephone conference call, there are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record.

Department staff and any commenters should identify themselves before speaking. Remember that there may be a slight delay due to telephone conference call meeting, so please wait a little longer than usual before responding to participants. Do not speak over others, and speakers should ask the chairman to proceed and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

Because the number of dial-in participants is limited, if you wish to address the board or speak on an agenda item during today's meeting, please send an email

to askmvcpa@TxDMV.gov. Please identify yourself in the email and specify the item you're interested in commenting on, your name, contact and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself.

2.3

If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting. To make yourself identifiable during the meeting, when it is your turn to speak press star-three if you are on the phone, or raise your hand in the Webex system and you will be logged in to the link.

In accordance with department administrative rule, comments to the board will be limited to three minutes, then the call will be muted. Comments will be pertinent to the issue in your email. When addressing the board, please state your name and affiliation for the record.

Before we begin today, I would like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct of our board meetings. The board chair is given authority to supervise and conduct the meeting. This includes authority to determine when a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or otherwise violating the timing of presentation rules I just discussed. Disruptive speakers will be muted, given a warning about disruptive

1	behavior, and then removed from the meeting for any
2	continued disruption.
3	That was a mouthful, David.
4	Are there any questions on that? If not, we'll
5	go to the roll call.
6	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, can I make one
7	interjection there? I think in the script it said that
8	the meeting was posted on July 1, and I just want to make
9	sure the record captures that the meeting was posted on
10	February
11	MR. HANSEN: It stated that at the beginning
12	that July 1 was when the, I think, original contact
13	information was posted.
14	MR. WILSON: Okay. But it was posted with this
15	agenda as well.
16	MR. HANSEN: February 17, 2021.
17	MR. WILSON: Thank you. Sorry.
18	MR. HANSEN: That's fine. Thank you.
19	Okay. We'll do a roll call and establish a
20	quorum.
21	Member Hunter?
22	MS. HUNTER: Present.
23	MR. HANSEN: Member Jones?
24	MS. JONES: Present.
25	MR. HANSEN: Member Gause?

1	MR. GAUSE: Present.
2	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez? Mike, are you
3	there?
4	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, he just sent me an
5	email saying he's having trouble getting in.
6	MR. HANSEN: Member Mizani?
7	MR. MIZANI: Present.
8	MR. HANSEN: Member Whitehill?
9	MS. WHITEHILL: Present.
10	MR. HANSEN: We're pending Member Rodriguez,
11	but let the record reflect that I, Tommy Hansen, am here
12	and we have a quorum. Also let the record reflect that as
13	of right this moment Member Rodriguez is absent, but he's
14	trying to get on as we speak.
15	Moving on, unless we have anything else on
16	that. Do we want to wait for him, David, or not?
17	MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, we can go ahead
18	and move on; we've got a quorum.
19	MR. HANSEN: Okay. Thank you, sir.
20	MR. RICHARDS: You're welcome.
21	MR. HANSEN: The next thing we'd like to do is
22	pledge allegiance to the Flag and pledge allegiance to the
23	Texas Flag, if y'all would join me in that.
24	(The Pledge of Allegiance and the Texas
25	Allegiance were recited.)

1	MR. HANSEN: Moving along, item number 1.C.
2	Everyone was mailed a transcript of the previous meeting
3	back from July 9, 2020. Does anybody have any issues or
4	comments?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. HANSEN: None. Do I have a motion to
7	approve the transcript as minutes? Anyone with a motion?
8	MS. WHITEHILL: Chairman Hansen, this is
9	Katherine Whitehill. I move adoption of the transcript of
10	the July 9, 2020, Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority
11	meeting.
12	MR. HANSEN: Okay. I have a motion from Member
13	Whitehill. Is there a second?
14	MS. HUNTER: Second.
15	MR. HANSEN: Is that Armin?
16	MS. HUNTER: Ashley.
17	MR. HANSEN: Okay. Members, when I call your
18	name, please state that you are in support of the motion,
19	please state aye, and if you're not in support, please
20	state I do not support the motion.
21	Member Hunter?
22	MS. HUNTER: Aye.
23	MR. HANSEN: Member Owen I'm sorry
24	MS. JONES: Aye.
25	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez? Did Mike get on

1 yet? No. 2 Member Mizani? 3 MR. MIZANI: Aye. MR. HANSEN: Member Whitehill? 4 5 MR. GAUSE: Aye. 6 MR. HANSEN: I'd like to reflect that I, Tommy 7 Hansen, support the motion. The motion passes. Bear with us this morning; this is all new to 8 9 everyone, so we'll try to move through this as quickly as 10 we possibly can. Item 1.D. First off, comments from any of the 11 board members that they'd like to make? 12 1.3 (No response.) 14 MR. HANSEN: Hearing none, I just want to 15 congratulate everybody for the hard work that the board 16 has done putting this together. This has been trying 17 times with COVID and all the other things that are going on in our world, and of course, Mother Nature put a damper 18 19 on everything literally last week for a lot of you folks, 20 and I hope that if anyone needs anything please reach out. 21 We do have on item 1.E commendations and 22 congratulations. 2.3 Number one, Sqt. Richard Hale, who was over the Travis County Taskforce, has worked there for a long time, 24

has recently retired. Richard was a big asset to this

program. He was very involved in the legislative process with us through the years, and he will be missed.

Also, Joe Ray Joines retired from the Sheriffs' Combined Auto Theft Taskforce. He was with the taskforce for some time, and he worked within the Wharton County Sheriff's Office, and a long-time member of our group and he will be missed as well.

Melissa Castro from Corpus Christi, coordinator of the Corpus Christi Taskforce, was promoted. She has, I believe, the rank of captain, so congratulations to Melissa.

And then also, I'd like to add our board member, Mr. Mizani, I believe, was recently elected as mayor of his community, so congratulations to Mayor Mizani.

MR. MIZANI: Thank you, Chairman, and if I may briefly just say I want to thank you for your support throughout and also want to second the comments you indicated with regards to staff.

I know it's been a very tough week for a lot of people, but I know a lot of staff members, as well, lost power, and through all of that they were able to put together this agenda, so I just want to appreciate them for all their work.

Thank you, Chairman.

2.3

MR. HANSEN: Thank you very, very much. 1 2 congratulations. I don't know what you were thinking getting involved in politics, but congratulations. 3 4 MR. MIZANI: Thank you. 5 (General laughter.) 6 MR. HANSEN: Okay. Moving along here. 7 believe item number 2, I think Dan is going to give a 8 presentation on this. Is that right? 9 MR. PRICE: Yes, sir. 10 For the record, this is Dan Price. I am the MVCPA staff auditor. This is an action item on the board 11 12 agenda. We are going to discuss the insurance refund 13 request for Park Wood Risk Retention Group. We are on 14 page 10 of the board book, and I'm going to move us on to 15 page 11 here and discuss it. 16 So it is a very small organization with very 17 few policies written in Texas. They inadvertently included the entire prior year's policies on this 18 19 particular return, so they're requesting a refund for 53 20 over-reported policies at \$4 apiece; that's \$212. 21 It's a relatively small amount than most of the 22 refunds you've seen, and the staff recommends approval. 2.3 Again, this is an action item for the board. 24 Mr. Chairman, I believe you're on mute. Sorry.

MR. HANSEN: Thank you for waking me up.

1	David, I assume you're referring to what we
2	just printed up a few minutes ago?
3	MR. RICHARDS: The most recent script is what
4	I'm talking about. Yes, sir.
5	MR. HANSEN: All right. Are there any
6	questions for Dan on this before we go any further?
7	MR. GAUSE: Mr. Chairman, this is Shay Gause.
8	I don't have any questions, but I will recuse myself from
9	the vote. Thank you.
10	MR. HANSEN: Okay. Any other comments,
11	members?
12	MR. MIZANI: Mr. Chair, I have a motion if
13	you're ready.
14	MR. HANSEN: Yes, sir.
15	MR. MIZANI: So on item 2 I move that we
16	approve the staff recommendation to issue the refund
17	request for Park Wood Risk Retention Group for the
18	overpayment of the MVCPA fee in the amount of \$212.
19	MR. HANSEN: Motion to approve the request has
20	been made by Member Mizani. Is there a second?
21	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, if I may interject
22	right here?
23	MR. HANSEN: Please, do.
24	MR. WILSON: Mike Rodriguez has joined the
25	meeting. Would you please call out to let the record

1	reflect that he's joined, please?
2	MR. HANSEN: Yes. Let the record reflect that
3	Member Mike Rodriguez has joined the meeting.
4	Thank you, Mike. Welcome.
5	Mr. Mizani made a motion. Do we have a second?
6	MS. WHITEHILL: This is Katherine Whitehill,
7	and I second.
8	MR. HANSEN: Second from Whitehill. A second
9	has been made. Any further discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. HANSEN: Hearing none, when I call your
12	name for the record, state that you support the motion.
13	Member Hunter is recused.
14	Member Jones? In support, Member Jones?
15	MS. JONES: [inaudible].
16	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez?
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Approve.
18	MR. HANSEN: Are you in support of this motion,
19	Mike?
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can you hear me, Chairman?
21	MR. HANSEN: Yes, I can hear you.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I support the motion.
23	MR. HANSEN: Okay. Member Mizani?
24	MR. MIZANI: Aye, I support.
25	MR. HANSEN: Member Whitehill?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. WHITEHILL: I support the motion. 1 2 MR. HANSEN: Member Gause recused. 3 Let the record reflect that I, Tommy Hansen, 4 support the motion. Motion passes. 5 Item number 3 has to do with some budget items, 6 and I think Bryan is going to do a presentation on that. 7 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is 8 Bryan Wilson, for the record. 9 So I direct your attention to page 17 to talk 10 about the FY20 budget closeout, and so this item is an 11 action item, and it will be tied to the next item as well. 12 So a few weeks ago we were able to totally 13 complete the grant closeout for FY20. It was a few days 14 earlier than we've ever done it before; I was really 15 pleased. Being short-staffed like this, we worked really, 16 really hard and long hours to get this done, and I 17 appreciate Dan and Tess. And so what that means, though, when you give a 18 19 grant, you give a grant for several hundred thousand 20 dollars and they have a little bit of money left over at the end of the year, which, as most of you are aware, if 21 22 you go over your budget you're going to be in trouble with 2.3 your city or your county, so most grants have at least 24 some money left over, or it's very common.

And so this year the total amount of money that

was left over after we closed out all the grants and issued all the purchase orders and obligations was about \$200,000. Now, there was some minor adjustments on some late purchase orders that came in after the fact since I published this book.

2.3

So what I'm going to ask you to do, since, as you well know, back in July the governor and the legislative leadership ordered that the MVCPA reduce their overall appropriation -- now, we didn't actually give up the money, it's still sitting in our account, but it's unavailable to spend, the \$1.2 million.

But this \$200,000 was not planned on and because we have what's called unexpended balance, or UB, authority, this \$200,000 will carry forward into FY21 under the Appropriations Act adopted by the legislature for our article -- or our bill pattern in the House Appropriations bill.

So what I'm asking you to do is to take this \$200,000 that's already moved forward -- I talked to budget yesterday -- and we're going to budget it for the Rapid Response Strikeforce grants that I'm going to talk about next.

So that's the motion that I've proposed for this board, to recognize the \$200,000 and to put it in the grants category for Rapid Response Strikeforce grants.

1	MR. HANSEN: We need to vote on this
2	separately, I believe?
3	MR. WILSON: Correct. This is a budget item.
4	MR. HANSEN: Members, are there any questions
5	for Mr. Wilson concerning this?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. HANSEN: Hearing none, members, I'll
8	entertain any motion, but before doing so, please remember
9	to state your name for the record and your motion.
10	MR. GAUSE: Mr. Chairman, Shay Gause. I move
11	that the MVCPA allocate up to \$200,000 in the FY21 budget
12	to the Rapid Response Strikeforce grants from the FY20
13	unexpended balance and carryforward.
14	MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Mr. Gause.
15	Do we have a second?
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is Member Rodriguez. I
17	second that motion.
18	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez seconds.
19	When I call your name, please state for the
20	record whether you support or do not support.
21	Member Hunter?
22	MS. HUNTER: Aye.
23	MR. HANSEN: Member Jones?
24	MS. JONES: Support.
25	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I support. 1 2 MR. HANSEN: Member Mizani? 3 I support. MR. MIZANI: MR. HANSEN: Member Whitehill? 4 5 MS. WHITEHILL: I support. 6 MR. HANSEN: And Member Gause? 7 MR. GAUSE: I support. 8 MR. HANSEN: I, Tommy Hansen, support as well. 9 The motion passes. 10 Bear with my guys, learning curve here. Item number 4, discuss item 4 in reference to 11 12 the Rapid Response Strikeforce grant forms, and Mr. Wilson 1.3 will do the presentation this morning. 14 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is 15 Bryan Wilson, for the record. 16 I just want to call your attention starting on 17 page 19 of the MVCPA board book through page 24. 2019 the MVCPA adopted this program as a pilot. We were 18 able to successfully do one program in the Port of Houston 19 20 with the Houston Police Department. We gave them a grant 21 for \$4,900, they only were able to use about \$1,200 of it, 22 but they were paying overtime and went out and in just a 2.3 few hours recovered five stolen vehicles in the Port of 24 Houston.

And we have not really had an allocation.

There's been two or three events that were planned, but due to leadership and turnover, we were not able to implement those. But we have several programs that are waiting for clarification of this, first off, for funding; that's what we needed more than anything.

1.3

2.3

But we also found out in the first round that it was a little too complicated for some of the paperwork, so I was trying to streamline it. This board, as well as myself and my staff, we have to maintain accountability for these funds; we can't just hand out state dollars without the level of accountability that state law requires, nor are we going to do that, just like we don't do on the regular grants, we're not going to do on this.

But that said, there's some things that I think we could clarify and facilitate departments being able to use this. So I've taken the original document that you approved back in 2019, I kind of clarified it for first, second and third priorities shown on page 21, I've tried to -- one of the problems the last time it was a little too wide open so I've just limited the kind of expenses that we'll reimburse.

Again, by state law we can only reimburse a jurisdiction, we cannot give them money in advance for an operation program unless we had specific authority in statute, which we do not. So everything that we do is

always reimbursement.

1.3

2.3

But we will give the grant, the jurisdictions will engage in the activity, we still have a match requirement. I've kind of cleaned up the standards for less than \$5,000 for the director to approve; \$5,000 to less than \$25,000, which is the Comptroller's standard for the granting agencies; and then if it's more than \$25,000, only the board can approve under the Comptroller's guidelines. So anyway, we clarified some things about the after action report.

So I'm asking you to authorize me to publish this Rapid Response Strikeforce application process as revised.

MR. HANSEN: Are there any questions for Mr. Wilson?

(No response.)

MR. HANSEN: Would anyone like to make a motion?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chairman, I have a question for Mr. Wilson.

MR. HANSEN: Go ahead, Mike.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: On this application for the Rapid Response, there will be a identification as to why the problem area and why the funds are needed, and then that application is going to go to your staff. Am I

correct?

2.3

MR. WILSON: That's correct. All the applications will always come here, and then according to that table on page 21, what will happen next will be determined by the amount of the grant.

So let's just say, like the Port of Houston grant, they want some overtime to go inspect cars at the Port of Houston, then if it's \$4,000 or \$4,999 or less, then it's going to come to me, I will review it with the grant, make sure I ask any questions, we'll issue a small grant award statement like we did last time, and then they would have to accept it or decline it.

There's another contract that would go with this that I'll develop with Legal and Financial Services to facilitate the payment after the fact. But then when they get done, they have to turn in an after action report along with the request for reimbursement.

Does that answer your question?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But it will be for a problem, a specific problem, a hotspot area. Correct? It would not be for, I want to say, to supplement strategies that they applied for during the grant process. Right?

MR. WILSON: Well, it's designed to augment a special event, it's not the generic. So I'm just going to use your own jurisdiction. For example, Laredo does

bridge inspections as a regular basis, but if they wanted to bring in the county or bring folks down from Dallas or bring in other jurisdictions to hit all the bridges at the same time for three weeks straight -- I'm just making up a project -- then that would be something that you would write a Rapid Response Strikeforce; the people would show up, do the event.

2.3

It's not the regular bridge inspections that your officers might do, you know, on the second Thursday of every month, or whatever your schedule is; it would be to an event a specific response to organized crime that's occurring in your community, you're going to bring other resources to bear, so that's what it's designed for.

The Port of Houston -- I mean, the City of
Houston already has people at the port, but in the event
that took place a year ago or so was the Feds asked them
for a big show to go through the port and do kind of a
massive inspection, and that's what they responded to. So
it was above and beyond the two or three guys that they
have in the port itself.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Now, could these funds be used to supplement a jurisdiction that doesn't have a taskforce?

And this is where I'm going with this, Bryan. So we're looking at Odessa, Odessa is in the top five in

the nation for auto theft and it's in Texas, and we got
the Panhandle Auto Theft Unit and the Lubbock County South
Plains Auto Theft Unit there right really close to us.

1.3

2.3

And where I'm going to is can we use these funds to, I guess, direct these taskforces to make contact with Odessa about what the problem is there and try to figure out some strategy to reduce the auto theft in that area, in both areas, because I'm talking about Lubbock County and the Panhandle Auto Theft Unit, they're also in the top, I want to say, 50.

So Lubbock is ranked number 15, and you've got Amarillo ranked number 27, so that's a problem area, and I'm not leaving out Houston or San Antonio or Corpus because they're also there, that is the same thing, but Odessa is number five and there's a big, big problem in that area.

And I'm trying to see if we can use, you know, some of our funds for the Rapid Response to go and find out what the problem is and start creating some strategies to attack the problem.

MR. WILSON: This is Bryan Wilson, for the record.

So if you'll look on page 21 you see the eligibility. In the past one of the things, it was too open -- to respond to your question, under Priority 1,

Lubbock could go with any of their sub-grantees or coverage agencies and develop a response to do a sweep.

2.3

You know, in the past in Odessa when we had the -- do you remember we had the TDCJ grant and we would send officers to do parolee roundups when Odessa was spiking. We would work with DPS and get the Texas Prison System to go do warrant roundups and blue warrant roundups in the Odessa area, and it really did make an impact.

But yes, this would allow Lubbock to be able to apply for a Rapid Response, coordinate an effort with Odessa, and then even temporarily bring them into their taskforce to conduct an operation.

But remember, this grant is intended not to be a supplemental grant to the taskforces; it's an event based or exigent circumstance that is trying to respond. If you have gang activity in Brownsville that's just really spiking and Major Jones has intelligence of things going on and she can work with Brownsville and McAllen to put together a response for them to have overtime or specific types of equipment and information that they need to respond to events that are going on at our border, at a port, or in Odessa, but it's got to be currently based on who we can give money to.

The other problem, if we're not careful, is grants require resolutions, and if you have a truly

exigent circumstance in a grant like this, the last thing you want to be able to do is have to go to city council and go through a bunch of governmental operations to get new entities to sign off on the grant requirements.

1.3

2.3

It's going to take weeks, most of the time, for that to happen, but if you already have a resolution on file between us and the agency, we're able to respond very quickly to that. We don't have to go through all the governance process.

The governance processes are good, that protects us and protects the cities and counties, but it's just going to take a long time, it's not exactly exigent if you have to wait six to ten weeks to get through the city government. But if we use our grantees for this Rapid Response Strikeforce, then we already have a resolution, that they've already made a commitment to this program.

MR. GAUSE: Mr. Wilson, to your point -- this is Shay Gause -- I'm looking at the five-day kind of turnaround from an application being presented and potentially getting the authority, and also looking at the way that the financial approval is set up, and the \$5,000 and below, 5- to 25-, and 25- or more.

Are you comfortable that it truly could be a rapid answer once an application comes in that's in good

shape and we can get the necessary approvals and turn that around in five days so that an exigent circumstance doesn't linger unnecessarily? Or would it be better served if that financial breakdown was a little different?

2.3

MR. WILSON: I mean, typically we respond within five days, but I mean, if it was a low level, low risk grant that was responding immediately. Like right now we've got catalytic converters being stolen right and left all over the state. It's just really a problem everywhere, just hearing clamoring from all kinds of organizations and groups.

And so if somebody had the opportunity, if one of our grantees had the opportunity to do a buy, working with DPS or working across a region or area, they would be able to quickly -- if it was less than \$5,000, they would be able to quickly respond, and if they had a good response for what they would put in beside it, that would be something that would be allowed.

MR. GAUSE: So I guess maybe my question is more should your authority go greater than \$5,000 to allow more speed, or is that set in a different format?

MR. WILSON: I think \$5,000 is about what you would expect for a state employee -- like for instance, currently under the purchasing at DMV I have, I think, \$10,000 and less, and then everything else has to go above

But it's a comfortable limit where the board is not 1 giving out too much. 3 In other words, the board ultimately is responsible for the operation of this grant program and 4 5 this fund, and I think \$5,000 is consistent, although I 6 don't think it's in law per se, but the standard of \$5,000 7 is fairly consistent. Anything above \$5,000 really should have further view and further response in state 8 9 government. 10 MR. GAUSE: Okay. And then the Grants Committee approval within that timeline? 11 12 MR. WILSON: Our response will be back saying 1.3 that we received it, we're working on it, here's what we 14 can do or here's what we can't do, but I'm not saying the 15 decision will always be made in five days. I didn't mean 16 to say that if that's what it says. 17 We'll respond within five days, so it's not something that's going to be languishing out there saying, 18 19 wow, I wonder if they -- you know, 30 days later, I wonder 20 if they got that application or not. 21 MR. HANSEN: The requester will know 22 immediately within five days that it's in motion. 2.3 MR. WILSON: Right. That's a good point. 24 Thank you for that, Mr. Gause.

MR. HANSEN: Okay. Do we have a motion on item

number 4?

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chairman, I move that the MVCPA authorize the director to finalize and publish the Rapid Response Strikeforce process and application and implement the program, as provided on page 19 through 24 of the MVCPA meeting book.

This is Member Rodriguez, for the record.

MR. HANSEN: We have a motion by Member Rodriguez. Do we have a second?

MS. HUNTER: Ashley Hunter. Second.

MR. HANSEN: Just discussion or a comment from me before we go to a vote. I think this concept, if we get -- we will get appropriate funding, I think this is going to be an opportunity to pick up some slack in a lot of areas around the state, because as we also know, many of our current programs have been deleted of manpower and things such as that over the recent session.

So I think the concept of this will be very valuable for future permanent grants as a testing program in the future if we can get appropriate funding to go with it. So I'm excited about this, because I think it brings a lot to the table for down the pike.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Member Rodriguez, for the record, Chairman.

And I think that one of the things that we

should be very cautious -- and I think Major Jones can agree with me on this -- the intel is telling us that there is going to be a spike in auto theft, there is a lot of requests for stolen vehicles not only from cartels but also from within the gangs that we have locally.

2.3

You know, I know that the leadership from HPL has shifted to Corpus, and that's going to be a problem for Corpus. The Mexican mafia has just been growing and growing in Laredo, and also we have Mexican mafia all the way into San Antonio and Austin.

I wish I could share a slide with you right now where we took down about 100 bodies in a stash house and we found multiple license plates from stolen vehicles from the Houston area, from Austin, from San Antonio. And the intel keeps coming in that this is going to be a problem in the very near future for everybody.

And again, all these bigger gangs that have established in Texas are working together to be able to make money, and I'm not talking only about drugs and weapons but I'm talking about bodies.

So you know, this is something that everybody needs to be on the lookout, and not only for our area but also Odessa, Amarillo, Lubbock. All of us are going to have this problem, so we do need to be prepared and make sure that we keep on fighting for the allocation of funds

1	to make sure that we fund these taskforces properly and
2	expand our jurisdictions in areas like Odessa.
3	MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Member Rodriguez.
4	When I call your name, please state for the
5	record whether you support the motion or not.
6	Member Hunter?
7	MS. HUNTER: Aye.
8	MR. HANSEN: Member Jones?
9	MS. JONES: Aye.
10	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez?
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I support.
12	MR. HANSEN: Member Mizani?
13	MR. MIZANI: I support.
14	MR. HANSEN: Member Whitehill?
15	MS. WHITEHILL: I support.
16	MR. HANSEN: And Member Gause?
17	MR. GAUSE: I support.
18	MR. HANSEN: And I, Tommy Hansen, do support
19	this and the motion passes.
20	Moving to item number 5
21	MS. BENAVIDES: Mr. Chairman, may I speak?
22	MR. HANSEN: Yes.
23	MS. BENAVIDES: This is Yessenia Benavides, for
24	the record.
25	We did receive three comment cards for item

1 number 5. 2 MR. HANSEN: Do we want to let them speak first? 3 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, David Richards, 4 5 for the record. 6 Let's introduce the agenda item, and then Mr. Wilson may have some comments before we allow the 7 8 commenters. Thank you. 9 MR. HANSEN: Okay, perfect. 10 Item number 5 is going to be spread out, it's going to be complicated, so we'll go from there and try to 11 12 take it one step at a time and then we'll let those folks 1.3 make their comment. 14 Item number 5, Mr. Wilson, go ahead. 15 MR. WILSON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 I do want to ask real quick because I don't 17 think anybody wants to comment on 5.A, but can I ask, Ms. Benavides, did anybody that signed up sign up for just 18 19 agenda item 5 in general, or did they sign up for 5 or one 20 of the sub-categories? 21 MS. BENAVIDES: This is Yessenia Benavides, for 22 the record. 2.3 I did receive three requests which was for 24 agenda item number 5.G.i, and the other two did not 25

specify which item.

MR. WILSON: Okay. I'll go ahead and lay 1 2 out -- I have this broken down in the board book and the 3 proposed motions as different items, but I will go ahead 4 and lay out the overall and then let the commenters have 5 the comment, Mr. Chairman. I don't think that they want 6 to talk about the grant standards, but just in case, I 7 don't want to step over somebody's ability to respond. 8 MR. HANSEN: Before we go forward, though, I 9 want to confirm that we are going to actually do a motion 10 and vote on each item under 5 separately. Correct? MR. WILSON: On most of them. And the motions 11 reflect some of them are clasped together, but I did want 12 1.3 to make sure that we --14 MR. HANSEN: I'll let you be the guiding light 15 on this. 16 MR. WILSON: Yes, sir. So what we're doing here today, the main crux 17 of this meeting is to talk about the future of the grant 18 19 program in the ambiguousness of trying to determine 20 whether we are going to be cut or whether the legislature 21 is going to follow the statutory standard that they 22 adopted last year of giving us 20 percent of what we

So when you have a certain level of uncertainty, just like in business, government has to

collected for this period.

2.3

24

figure out a way to respond and to operate.

2.3

In the middle of that, to add to the uncertainty, after decades of the same grant standards with occasional updates, minor updates, the State of Texas has also switched some grant standards, and so the first part of my presentation is just talking about the fact that some of the standards will go into effect after we've issued the grant, which would become very problematic for us as a grant-making agency to have two sets of standards within one fiscal year or within one biennium.

So the first part of this presentation will just be about what standards we'll use when we look at and when we accept and when we manage, and also for our grantees to be able to manage the grants going forward.

Now, this is just a small excerpt that I put into the board book starting on page 26; I did not put the whole 180 pages or whatever, but I wanted to give you at least the preamble to explain what the State of Texas was trying to do and what authority that they were doing it under. So please don't think that that's the whole standard; it's much, much larger than that.

Then the other items that we're going to discuss, I'm going to share with you, Chairman Rodriguez and others have asked me to reach out to the community, we've been doing it since last January, and our

taskforces, about what standards we should use when we're giving future grants.

1.3

2.3

And then the next part of our discussion will be the actual RFA, and I wanted to go into details about the changes that I've made for future grants, calling our general grant a taskforce grant, and then in case we get additional funds, if the legislature does follow the statute, then we would have three other kind of grants that you would authorize me to develop.

So that's the high-level view of what I'm fixing to lay out before this board, and at this point, subject to Mr. Richards, I think at this point we probably should take the comments, and then I'll be able to lay out more detail.

MR. RICHARDS: Yes, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. Chairman, I think let's take the comments at this time, please. Thank you.

MR. HANSEN: Okay. Ms. Benavides, if you -MS. BENAVIDES: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is
Yessenia Benavides.

We will now hear Gary Cutler. Please raise your hand using the instructions provided to you, and please be mindful about limiting any background noise. Also, please state your name for the record and if you are representing anyone. You will have three minutes to

speak; you will be muted by the host after speaking for three minutes.

And if you would just give me one second to locate him on the attendee list.

Mr. Cutler, you are now able to speak.

SHERIFF CUTLER: Yes, ma'am. Can you hear me?

MR. HANSEN: Yes.

2.3

SHERIFF CUTLER: Thank you. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Gary Cutler, for the record, Sheriff, Hays County, Texas. I appreciate the time to speak to you briefly this morning about the grant and talk briefly about the Hays County end of it.

Hays County has been a member of the taskforce which initially was the Auto Theft Taskforce, been a member of it for going on 24 years now, and as you know, it's a well documented successful taskforce. It's been well documented for years, and through the years things have changed as to what the taskforce has been involved in investigating, not just auto theft but it stretches a lot further than that now.

Our agency depends on that grant for that position, we have for all these years, and not only does our agency but our citizens of this county also depend on it. As y'all know, and I'll be glad to share it with you, we're one of the fastest growing counties in Texas and in

the nation, and with that comes everything else.

2.3

Interstate 35 runs right through our county, it's a major highway that goes all the way up through Travis and all, and so with it comes everything along 35, stretching all the way to Mexico, and what's going to happen on our border in the future, who knows, but I believe that's going to increase.

We're seeing an increase in calls for service, and then I'm seeing the funding being cut to this for the last several years, or every two years, for this grant, and I'm coming to you today to say please put this 20 percent -- do not let this 20 percent be cut.

I also pay my insurance policy like everybody else and I know what the money is put for. So what I would like to do is ask to please take a look at that.

I've been looking at the numbers, and I know there's another sheriff going to talk about the numbers and how it's going in the wrong direction and everything else is going in a different direction. We cannot afford to have this and we cannot afford to have it. We've stretched our county budgets as far as we can when it comes to asking our budgets for manpower and for matching.

MS. BENAVIDES: Sheriff Cutler, you have one minute remaining.

SHERIFF CUTLER: Okay. Thank you.

If we get matching funds and whatnot, we 1 2 stretch our counties as far as we can to do that because 3 there's other needs throughout the county. 4 So I ask you please take a hard look at this 5 and not let this 20 percent be taken. And I appreciate your time this morning. Thank y'all for what you do. 6 7 Thank you. Thank you, Sheriff. 8 MR. HANSEN: 9 I sent you some additional information 10 yesterday too. Did you get that? 11 SHERIFF CUTLER: Yes, sir, I did. Thank you very much. 12 13 MR. HANSEN: You're welcome. 14 David, is it appropriate for us to comment to 15 Sheriff Cutler's statement? 16 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, if you have a 17 question for him, that's perfectly fine. You're not really supposed to enter into discussion since it's an 18 19 agenda item, but if you have a question that you want to 20 clarify from the sheriff, that would be great. 21 MR. HANSEN: I'll talk to him later. 22 you. 2.3 Ms. Benavides, who do we have next? 24 MS. BENAVIDES: Mr. Chairman, the second person 25 in line is Sally Hernandez. We will now hear from Sally

Hernandez.

2.3

I'm going to read the instructions again. This is Yessenia Benavides, for the record.

Please raise your hand using the instructions provided to you and please be mindful about limiting any background noise. Also, please state your name for the record and if you are representing anyone. You will have three minutes; you will be muted by the host after speaking for three minutes.

SHERIFF HERNANDEZ: Good morning. This is

Sheriff Sally Hernandez from Travis County. Thank you so

much, Chairman and the board. I am here because, as you

said, we are trying to manage the grant, and we found, you

know, a lot of difficulty in the management of the grant.

You know, county budgets are being constrained due to revenue caps. County wants school, costs, recovery. The grant amount has decreased over the years with more expected from the grants. In 2015, \$647,796, and then in 2021 the grant was \$607,154.

The grant results require a 20 percent match. The match contract has been closer to in 2015, 37 percent and in 2021, 40 percent. These match percentages don't cover the costs associated with the grant that we have been told not to submit because they aren't part of the grant application.

We have also been told that we would need to submit a new application in order to address these costs, which may process the grant as a new applicant, so we've chosen to maintain the status quo.

2.3

We have been told over the years less money is available so don't ask for more, and the next grant we will be submitting for an amount closer to the 20 percent match and hope that this doesn't cost us the grant, as we know the value that the Sheriff's Combined Auto Theft Taskforce has provided the State of Texas with the cooperation among the sheriffs.

You heard Sheriff Cutler, and there's a couple of other sheriffs that you're going to hear from, that we've had a great working relationship, but it becomes more and more difficult to try to manage the grant with less funds.

And so like Sheriff Cutler, I'm asking the same: for the board to reconsider cuts, and in fact add to the grant so that we can sustain the grant. The sheriffs and I have been meeting and discussing it, and we're realizing that it becomes harder and harder to sustain this grant and that's not, I believe, the desire of the state, nor is it of each one of these counties that the grant represents.

So it's vital for the existence of this

taskforce to continue on for really strong consideration 1 2 on what's happening with the money that should be going to 3 these grants that we're not receiving. So I, too, am 4 asking --5 MS. BENAVIDES: Sheriff Hernandez, I apologize. 6 You have five seconds remaining. 7 SHERIFF HERNANDEZ: I'm asking if you want this 8 grant to continue, do, you know, take this into 9 consideration, please. 10 MS. BENAVIDES: For the record, this is Yessenia Benavides. Sheriff Hernandez has been muted. 11 12 MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Sheriff. 13 The Sheriffs' Association, I've communicated 14 with their legislative group, we've been talking to them, 15 and this is a priority for the board. 16 MS. BENAVIDES: Mr. Chairman, the last speaker 17 is Arnold Zwicke. This is Yessenia Benavides, for the 18 record. 19 Sheriff Zwicke, if you would please press star 20 three on your phone so that you may raise your hand and I 21 can locate you. 22 We will now hear from Sheriff Arnold Zwicke, sheriff of Guadalupe County. Again, the instructions are 2.3 24 please raise your hand using the instructions provided to

you and please be mindful about limiting any background

25

noise.

2.3

Also, please state your name for the record and if you are representing anyone. You will have three minutes; you will be muted by the host after speaking for three minutes.

SHERIFF ZWICKE: Good morning. Can you hear me?

MR. HANSEN: Yes, sir.

SHERIFF ZWICKE: Good morning. First, Arnold Zwicke, Guadalupe County Sheriff and member of the Sheriff's Combined Auto Theft Taskforce for 20 years.

First of all, thank y'all for what y'all do. I know y'all have got tough decisions, but I would like to share with you what's going on in Guadalupe County.

The population of the state and county has grown tremendously. Our fees collected by the state have increased 400 percent, but the money to the taskforce has not.

I would hate to see where we'd be without the success of the auto theft taskforces throughout Texas.

We're on a major corridor, I-10 from Mexico, and with all the people now in charge in Washington, I see nothing good ahead coming from what's coming across the border.

Stolen vehicles are mainly used to transport illegals through our county, stolen vehicles are being

1 used in a lot of crimes throughout the state. Last month we had a stolen vehicle fleeing from law enforcement, 3 ended up running a red light, injuring a family of three, 4 leaving the mother and a three-month-old baby in critical 5 condition. 6 If the auto theft unit goes away, we will be 7 surrendering to the criminals and telling the citizens we cannot protect their vehicles and equipment any longer, 8 9 and we will be giving them the key from the state to 10 continue their organized crime spree. 11 If the auto theft grant goes away, it means surrounding counties and agencies, to include DPS, will 12 have to fend for themselves; it will mean we will no 1.3 14 longer be able to do 68A inspections. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. HANSEN: Sheriff, thank you very much. 17 Anyone else, Ms. Benavides? MS. BENAVIDES: This is Yessenia Benavides, for 18 19 the record. 20 That would be all. No, Mr. Chairman. MR. HANSEN: I totally support all three of 21 22 their requests. 2.3 MR. WILSON: You're on mute, Mr. Chairman; you 24 accidentally hit mute.

MR. HANSEN:

Okay. All right, Mr. Wilson, if

25

you want to continue.

1.3

2.3

MR. WILSON: Okay. So I hope the sheriffs will stay on for a minute, because they're actually speaking to two different issues, and I hope to address one of them that they're talking -- Sheriff Hernandez so well put.

It's been a frustration for us and them. Most of this has been caused by the lack of funding, and that's something we cannot control, as you well know. God knows we've been trying, it's not for lack of effort. So the money we collected we certainly don't have the resources.

But the second part of the issue raised by

Sheriff Hernandez, I think we're fixing to cover -
"fixing to" is a good Texas term -- but we'll see how well

I do, and there may be some questions afterwards.

So first off, I want to say that starting on page 25 I mentioned the standards that the state has adopted. The standards went into effect -- okay, so there's a law, I think Chapter 383 of the Government Code, that says the Comptroller will establish standards to manage all grants in Texas.

And the only way you can have a grant that's not falling under these rules is to have specific statutory authority that the grant doesn't fall under these rules. We do not have that kind of authority, so to be clear, we have to follow the Comptroller's standards.

For decades we've been using what's called the UGMS, Uniform Grant Management Standards. People in the audience today, it just turns their stomach when they hear me quote UGMS, but that's just the rules that we have to follow and we're ordered by law to follow. If we don't follow them, we can't have a grant program.

2.3

But effective January 1 these new rules were posted by the Comptroller, and they don't take effect until January 1 of 2022. As I said, we must adopt these new standards, in my opinion. I've talked to Legal and I've talked to Finance, there's just no way we can not adopt these standards to start on September 1 for the new grants.

We'll keep using UGMS for the grants we have currently, because that is the law, they were issued under that standard, but for going forward, starting in FY22, which begins on September 1 of 2021, we will use these standards and put everybody on notice that when you apply for these grants, this is the standard you're going to follow.

Now, there's not huge differences, but there are a few, and one of them is that currently the board voted back in 2015 to authorize DPS staff people to be used in lieu of cash match. These new rules make it explicitly clear that DPS or any state revenue source

cannot be used for grant cash match, period.

1.3

2.3

There's a thing that this board has been operating under when somebody buys a truck with MVCPA funds or a combination of MVCPA funds and what we've been doing is ten years later when they sell that truck we'd allow them to put it into program income for future use in the program.

These rules make it explicitly clear that that's not acceptable. They can trade that truck in for something or they can reduce their current payment by that much for that period, so if they sold that used truck for \$10,000 they'll either have to -- well, the only thing they can do is when they turn in their next quarterly bill we have to reduce it by \$10,000.

Now, under the property standards they could have traded that truck in on the new truck and we'll still be okay, but if they sell that truck, like many of them have been doing so they could put it into program income, that won't be allowed in the future.

Now, there's a few little other things, but most of them are pretty minor. Those are the two biggest changes that I see coming in this standard.

So I'm asking this board to adopt the standards of Texas GMS starting September 1 for all grants going forward at that time.

1	MR. HANSEN: Okay. So we're going to do this
2	5.A separate, Mr. Richards?
3	MR. WILSON: Yes, please.
4	MR. HANSEN: All right. So we've heard Mr.
5	Wilson's presentation here, and so are there any motions?
6	MS. WHITEHILL: Chairman Hansen, this is
7	Katherine Whitehill, for the record.
8	I move that the MVCPA adopt the Texas Grant
9	Management Standards for grants beginning September 1,
10	2021.
11	MR. HANSEN: And do we have a second?
12	MS. HUNTER: Ashley Hunter. Second.
13	MR. HANSEN: Ms. Hunter.
14	Any discussion? I'd just like to comment on
15	the vehicle stuff, that that's unfortunate, because with
16	all the cuts we've had in recent years, some of those
17	funds from the sale of those old wore out vehicles have
18	been our lifeblood to get through these grant budget cuts,
19	so I'm hoping that, again, our funding will appropriately
20	fix that in the future.
21	When I call your name, indicate your support of
22	that agenda item.
23	Member Hunter?
24	MS. HUNTER: Aye.
25	MR. HANSEN: Member Owen I'm sorry Member

1	Jones.
2	MS. JONES: That's okay.
3	MR. HANSEN: We still had his name on some of
4	the documents.
5	MS. JONES: Aye.
6	MR. HANSEN: Sorry about that.
7	Mr. Rodriguez?
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I support.
9	MR. HANSEN: Mr. Mizani?
10	MR. MIZANI: Support the motion.
11	MR. HANSEN: Ms. Whitehill?
12	MS. WHITEHILL: I support.
13	MR. HANSEN: And Mr. Gause?
14	MR. GAUSE: I support.
15	MR. HANSEN: And I, Tommy Hansen support. The
16	bill passes, 5.A.
17	I think we were going to skip 5.B. Is that
18	correct?
19	MR. WILSON: I was just going to quickly touch
20	on it. I just wanted to show what the board and the
21	sheriffs that you just heard, and if you remember, Clif
22	Journet from Houston said much of the same things the
23	sheriffs just said today a few months ago, so I guess it
24	was probably maybe last year in February or July. So just

real quick I just want to call your attention. We have

been doing what the board has asked us to do to reach out to sheriffs, chiefs of police and to our own taskforces and say what is it about our grant program that we can improve, what can we do.

2.3

So we're hearing that longevity, compliance with rules are big items that they want for us to consider. So we interviewed or surveyed two different groups of our constituents, one is the grant managers, one is the law enforcement folks, and if you look at page 31 and 32, you see it's very similar.

And when you look at the sheriff and police chief issues that we reached out to you -- and you've seen these tables before in February last year -- what we're seeing is there's a shortage of manpower in law enforcement overall, and so people are looking for technology, they're looking for solutions, they're looking for overtime and things that can help them stretch the manpower that they have overall, so we're going to see that same theme.

So when we get to this page 36, what I want to point to you is some things that I've asked you to do for the next grant cycle. Now, unfortunately, we just did not -- I mean, I know Chief Rodriguez wanted to get together a Grants Committee and try to work through some of the scoring issues. We just ran out of time between

the pandemic and the freeze, we just ran out of time, and I apologize for that. We were also trying to do closeout at that same time, and so no excuses other than we didn't get it done.

2.3

But I'm going to ask you to refer the scoring and distribution of funds standards to the committee, and if Chief Rodriguez looks shocked, it's because he just heard this right along with you. But I'm going to ask you to refer that to committee and then with the direction that the committee bring back a recommendation on changes to scoring prior to the next -- a standard and a recommendation for the next meeting, prior to the next meeting.

MR. HANSEN: This is a matter we're not going to have to vote on, so I'm going to recommend that the Grants Committee work with the staff putting this together.

MR. WILSON: That's fine to do it that way too.

You're the chairman to refer items to committee, so
that's totally appropriate. So we don't have to vote on
that one.

MR. HANSEN: I'm okay with that unless somebody else has a conflict with it.

(No response.)

MR. WILSON: We'll do it by general consent.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 MR. HANSEN: All right.

2.3

MR. WILSON: Now this is the part that I think the sheriffs were talking to. So as you well know, board members, starting on page 36 there's two issues at hand when we issue a request for applications.

Today is February 25, the end of session won't be until May 31. As of today both the House and Senate version of the bill have us at a reduced funding amount, so the first element in a request for application is how much money do we have to spend. Well, today the only written documentation that we have is House Bill 1 and Senate Bill 1 says that we're going to get \$12.8 million.

So obviously, nothing that this board says or does can address that issue, and given that standard, with what the sheriffs were just talking about, they're going to have increased costs and they're going to have, if nothing else, longevity pay and things like that that will cost them more, but there's probably some inflation and other things that are working against their budgets. We can't control what the amount of money is.

But there are some things that we do control and specifically to Sheriff Hernandez's point, there's certain eligibility standards, there's priority funding, there's match ratios and amounts, and in the past this general application that we've always issued was called ---

it wasn't called the taskforce grants, we might have referred to them as that, but they were actually the MVCPA grant program.

So what I've done, starting on page 36, is I have taken the previous RFA that we've issued consistent for many years, and you'll notice at the top of the page I have now labeled this "Taskforce Grants." The only thing that we will consider in this grant cycle is operating a motor vehicle crime prevention taskforce. Economic theft team is what the law calls it; I use that language in the RFA.

So number one, if you adopt this RFA and authorize me to publish it, I'm telling you right up front, the only thing we're going to be looking at is taskforces.

And then the second thing, if you go down to eligible applicants, where it used to just copy what the law says, it used to say judicial agencies, community organizations, law enforcement agencies, blah-blah-blah, now it just says you have to be a law enforcement agency to apply for this grant.

That's the only thing that we're open for; with the funding cuts that we've endured year after year, the only thing that we'll consider in this RFA, if you authorize it, is law enforcement agency grants. And we'll

talk more about other kinds of grants later, but I'm just telling this one is going to be for this RFA that we're going to issue, if you authorize it, the eligibility will be limited to law enforcement agencies.

2.3

If you turn over the page to 37, we still have pretty much the same information, it's still a reimbursement grant, it's still the same cycle, the application can only be filled out online, still requires a governmental resolution, but I've stricken all the language for nonprofits and other kinds of agencies that could apply.

This is either DPS or a couple of other state agencies which aren't qualified to apply for this grant, or it's a local city or county, that's all we're limiting it to under this language.

(Reporter momentarily lost connection.)

MR. WILSON: This is the standard that you're going to do, but right now the standard for getting a grant in this grant cycle would be that you're continuing programs that previously have been funded by the MVCPA. That's not the only thing we'll do, I'm just saying that's the priority.

And then the other one is to fight gangs or conspiracy organized crime elements, but those two are our priority for funding. So when we look at the grants in

July or whenever we get ready to award, that's what we're going to look at as our priority for funding. There was about five other categories that used to be there; I've taken those categories out and only put these two.

2.3

And a grantee cannot be applying for a grant and be out of compliance with the rules, so I do want to specify that it's not all of our current grantees, it's only those -- which currently they're all in compliance with our rules and meeting their obligations, but if one of them were to not meet the criteria or the requirements of the grant, then they would no longer be in our priority group.

So I don't want you to think it's like, oh, you got a grant before, you automatically get a grant. No.

You still have to meet the operational guidelines and in compliance with the grant requirements.

Okay. So again, going down to the match requirements, the grant is going to require a 20 percent cash match. Just like our rules say, what Sheriff Hernandez was talking about, what Clif Journet has spoken about in the past to this board, is that as our funds have decreased what we've done and what this board has published out in the past is they would say, well, then we're asking the cities and counties to pick up the difference and hold the same programs, and this is taking

out that requirement.

1.3

2.3

If they only get \$500,000 and they might have had under the previous grant -- what did Sheriff Hernandez say earlier, 37 percent or 50 percent match -- now it's going to be 20 percent, so if that means that they have to take officers off or move those officers to in-kind to keep them in the program, then that's going to have to be the way that they operate.

Now, if we get fully funded, Dan and I have been working on different models. To fully fund everybody that we have right now at 20 percent would be \$16 million, so it would cost us another \$4 million, basically, to bring everybody up to where they ought to be to begin with.

The last thing I want to show you is at the kind of middle bottom of page 39, where I said DPS used to be allow for in lieu of cash match, that is prohibited going forward starting September 1, but we will continue to allow NICB agents to be used as in lieu of.

Now, something that the board probably needs -I'm keeping it the same except for DPS, which is no longer
allowed for any state funds, so if there's something you
want to address there, that's a place to address it.

There's a couple of tweaks in here at the bottom of page 40. You'll see that we've added TCOLE

certification that's required under the new legal standards adopted by the Comptroller. If we give a law enforcement grant, that law enforcement agency has to certify to us that they are in compliance with TCOLE requirements, and if they're not in compliance, they have to get a letter from TCOLE that they TCOLE says they're getting into compliance.

So other than that, I'll take any questions and try to do my best to catch you up. So that's where we are. That was a lot, I know. I'm sorry.

MR. HANSEN: I'm tired. That was item 5.C.

Does that need to be voted on or is that a recommendation?

MR. WILSON: Well, 5.C we've already referred

to committee, so we're doing 5.D, E, F and G.

MR. HANSEN: Okay.

2.3

MR. WILSON: Just to be clear, going back to page 35 just so that the board members are recognizing -- I know I talked a lot, and I really apologize; I don't like to do that.

So the eligibility standard now will be that only law enforcement -- eligibility is only law enforcement agencies can apply for a taskforce grant.

Okay, that's new. We used to publish all kinds of crime prevention methods.

Priority funding is now grantees, current

grantees in compliance and groups that want to address 1 organized crime in a cohesive way. That's our priorities 2 3 going forward for this year, for this cycle. 4 Match ratio, everybody gets 20 percent. 5 that means they lose officers, like if we continue under 6 our current funding, then it's just going to be 20 percent 7 of whatever officers they're able to bring to bear. And then the last one is this RFA will only be 8 9 a taskforce grant RFA; that's all you can do, it's a one-10 trick pony. MR. HANSEN: I hope that our quest sheriffs are 11 still online, because it's very, very clear based on your 12 13 presentation that our future is in the legislators' hands 14 and hopefully this will get funded. 15 Okay. Do we have a motion for items 5.D, E, F 16 and G? 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is Member Rodriguez. Item 5.C or item 5.D, E, F, G? 18 19 MR. HANSEN: 5.D, E, F and G is what he just 20 presented. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I move that the eligibility 22 standards, priority funding, match ratios, and grant type, 2.3 as shown on the draft request for applications 36-42, be 24 adopted for the FY22-23 taskforce grants. I further move

to authorize the MVCPA director to finalize and publish

25

1	the FY22-23 request for applications, including posting it
2	in the Texas Register as required by law.
3	MR. GAUSE: Mr. Chairman. Shay Gause, for the
4	record. I second.
5	MR. HANSEN: Second Mr. Gause.
6	Any further discussion?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. HANSEN: Hearing none, we'll take a vote in
9	support or against the motion.
10	Member Hunter?
11	MS. HUNTER: Aye.
12	MR. HANSEN: Member Jones?
13	MS. JONES: Support.
14	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez?
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Support.
16	MR. HANSEN: Member Mizani?
17	MR. MIZANI: I support.
18	MR. HANSEN: Member Whitehill?
19	MS. WHITEHILL: I support.
20	MR. HANSEN: And Member Gause?
21	MR. GAUSE: I support.
22	MR. HANSEN: I, Tommy Hansen, support the
23	motion.
24	Moving on to the next one, Mr. Wilson.
25	MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

So that's how we're planning on dealing with the ongoing shortfall and to address the issues that have been raised to us for years now about where we've been heading with our taskforce grants, so got that out of the way.

2.3

So then to address some of the other comments that the Sheriffs' Association members and the Chiefs of Police Association members have addressed saying, hey, sometimes we can't form a taskforce; hey, we don't always have the resources to start throwing manpower when we're shorthanded anyway.

So these next three items that you've seen before back in July, and I've asked you to consider them and think about them, and so I've added a little bit more fluff, or I guess background to them, and tried to set some parameters around them.

But we do have other needs that we don't have money for, for other kinds of organizations to have access to funding that we need to provide, we need to step up and figure out ways to deliver to the entire state. Everybody in the state is paying the \$4 fee, or everybody who has insurance is paying the \$4 fee, and we need to figure out a way to get the whole state involved in this program.

So the next three grants that I'm asking you to adopt today and authorize me to develop RFAs, the first

one is called the MAC Grant, or the MVCPA Auxiliary Grant initiative or program -- probably a better program, I'm going to have to change the name, but you don't have to adopt all the details today but just make sure that I have clear authority to develop these. And this one is where it would just basically be, if you'll excuse the term, a grant in a box.

2.3

So if you look at page 45, you'll see that we're going to just fund four things. Now, you members may prefer other things than these four, but this is what I've been talking to, this is what I can identify as motor vehicle theft equipment, and it's pretty much just an equipment grant.

Somebody has an outbreak -- I was talking to Sheriff Cutler the other day, and I remember the City of Kyle had, I think, one or two burglary of motor vehicles for years on years and years, but then all of a sudden they had 39 in one week, and so they could come in and apply for a skycam or a multi-unit stationary camera to be able to purchase and respond to things that cut motor vehicle crime in their area.

The Port of Houston, Port of Beaumont, Corpus Christ, and as well as there's lots of places where we don't have any technology for jammer detectors. The City of Houston and I were talking the other day about an

illegal operation that was using jammer detectors to keep people from communicating outside of their facility.

1.3

2.3

Law enforcement rarely has this technology, but jammers are used by illegal gangs and cartels and organized crime on a regular basis, but we can't even detect it in the Port of Houston.

So these are the things I think would have deep impact on motor vehicle crime overall. It's a one-time grant, they go out and buy the material, they continue to report on it for at least two years. There's some details that need to be worked out on that, so two years beyond the grant program.

So if the board authorizes me to develop this, I'll finish filling out the details and submit it to you, and hopefully by the July meeting we'll be able to authorize that RFA. At that point if we have money we'll issue the RFA, if we don't have money then we won't issue the RFA, but I'll have it ready to go for this board.

The next one is a Community Oriented Solutions Grant. We've heard so much this year on the news about police being limited in their ability to respond to all forms of crime. This would give local communities the opportunity to develop solutions for their own community using these funds on how they think they can reduce.

I know at IAATI, International Association of

Auto Theft Investigators program, I've heard lots of great solutions from around the world where they've tried to intervene on burglary of a motor vehicle with youth programs.

1.3

2.3

It doesn't have a clear definition other than to encourage behavior modification or victim restoration; that's kind of the themes of this. The board will certainly have the final say before it gets authorized and issued.

And then the last one is what we find is we've been given this new task for fraud-related motor vehicle crime, but we really don't have a mechanism by which to do this. We've got tax assessor-collectors that have lots of good ideas on how to increase the registration and title fees for the State of Texas. They're hamstrung not being able to develop new programs. Even here at DMV we've kicked around a statewide coordinated effort combating paper tags and registration and title fraud.

So if the board authorizes me, I'll develop these three new grants and have them ready so that if we do get \$20 million or \$18 million, we'll be ready to go, and if we don't, they'll be ready to go the next time we get funded.

MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Mr. Wilson. Again, a lot of work, lot of work, lot work.

1	That was agenda item number 5 involving those
2	items there. Do we have a motion?
3	MS. WHITEHILL: Mr. Chairman, this is Katherine
4	Whitehill, and I move that the MVCPA director is
5	authorized to develop the request for applications for the
6	Motor Vehicle Crime Auxiliary Grants, Community Oriented
7	Solutions Grants, and the Motor Vehicle Fraud Related
8	Crime Grants. I further move that the drafts shall be
9	presented to the MVCPA Grants, Budget and Reporting
10	Committee for input and recommendation at the next MVCPA
11	meeting.
12	MR. HANSEN: Thank you, ma'am.
13	Do we have a second?
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I second that motion. This is
15	Member Rodriguez.
16	MR. HANSEN: Rodriguez.
17	Any further discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. HANSEN: Okay. We'll take a vote whether
20	for or against.
21	Member Hunter?
22	MS. HUNTER: Aye.
23	MR. HANSEN: Member Jones?
24	MS. JONES: Aye.
25	MR. HANSEN: Member Rodriguez?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I support.
2	MR. HANSEN: Member Mizani?
3	MR. MIZANI: I support.
4	MR. HANSEN: Member Whitehill?
5	MS. WHITEHILL: I support.
6	MR. HANSEN: Member Gause?
7	MR. GAUSE: I support.
8	MR. HANSEN: Going to the very last thing on
9	item 5.
10	MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, this is Bryan
11	Wilson, for the record. You can just set those last two
12	aside, if you want.
13	MR. HANSEN: That's fine. We'll set those
14	aside.
15	It is exactly 10:30. I would like to maybe
16	give everybody about three or four minutes to take a quick
17	break, and then we'll resume. Let's say we'll resume at
18	10:35.
19	And I would ask our sheriff guests if they're
20	still on if they would remain on, because we're going to
21	cover some stuff coming up that's going to be very
22	important to their concerns.
23	So it's 10:30, we'll take a five-minute break.
24	(Whereupon, at 10:30 a.m., a brief recess was
25	taken.)

MR. HANSEN: It's 10:37 now, so we're going to reconvene at 10:37. Thank you very, very much, and we'll move on to the next item, which is going to be item number 6 and 7.

Mr. Wilson.

2.3

MR. WILSON: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Bryan Wilson, for the record.

This is the legislative appropriations request.

The MVCPA adopted the proposed legislative request back in February of 2020, over a year ago or about a year ago.

I've placed in here the legislative appropriations request as it stands now.

Unfortunately, a lot has happened since that time, and I know I've sent you emails as things have progressed. I have it listed as an action item in case you wanted to do something, but I don't expect you to do something at this particular time.

I just need an official record of -- or I feel like I need an official record of where we stand compared to what you voted on, so a year ago you voted that you wanted 20 percent of the funding, of the money we collected, as required by the current statute, the Transportation Code 1006.151.

And then the other thing is you said that you wanted to add a collections unit to help us have dedicated

staff to collect the money. There's evidence that we see, when we do some comparisons with other information, that there's probably anywhere from 2- to \$5 million being uncollected in the state of Texas right now from these policies, but because we're split and we're a very small staff and we're not tax professionals, we're looking for assistance.

1.3

2.3

So I wanted to share with you that what ended up happening as a result of the different changes that have been made is that the exceptional items have been changed, because as I reported in board reports, the Legislative Budget Board originally introduced a value for this agency of \$17 million, the House and Senate both cut that back to \$12 million, and so because of that, we were given one more opportunity in January to update our exceptional items.

So what you see on page 54 and 55 is the first request -- I'm still following your original vote, which was 20 percent of what was authorized under law, 20 percent of the amount we collected -- but we've had to do some changes to make it reflect, number one, exceptional item number one is the amount of money that would restore us back to what the Legislative Budget Board originally said, the \$17.5 million.

On page 55 exceptional item number two is that

the difference to what the Comptroller says, which is going to be well over \$100 million we'll be collecting in this current fiscal year, so we're requesting that.

Again, all this is different ways to present to the legislature that we're still wanting 20 percent as required by current law; that's all this is.

1.3

2.3

And then finally, the last exceptional item number three on page 56 is, again, the three full-time people to manage the collections program.

So the rest of this is just informational. I do not need for you to do anything other than just be aware that I'm still pursuing what you voted on in January. It just looks a lot different today than what you voted on in -- I'm sorry -- February of 2020. So are there any questions?

MR. HANSEN: I have none. Anybody else have any comment or question about the exceptional items?

(No response.)

MR. HANSEN: I'd just comment that this is what we're using as the background of all of our communications and conversations with everybody that we're talking to.

I've been contacted by a number of legislative offices and this is what we're going by, so it's continuously constant and the same information going to everyone that we're speaking with, and I would suggest

that everyone in the audience do the same ASAP.

MR. WILSON: So Mr. Chairman, for item number 7, I've asked Ms. Caroline Love, the Government and

So that's number 6. Number 7.

5 Strategic Communications director for Texas DMV, to do

6 this presentation.

1.3

2.3

MS. LOVE: Good morning. Thank you, Bryan.

And good morning, Chairman Hansen and members of the MVCPA Board. Happy to be here this morning, and I wanted to give you all an update on what recommendations the TxDMV Board adopted for the 87th Legislature.

And in previous meetings with this board, you all adopted a couple of recommendations to help improve efficiencies in operations within the MVCPA program, and those recommendations were then brought forward to the TxDMV Board and adopted at their October meeting.

The TxDMV Board is tasked in the statute with providing recommendations to the legislature on opportunities to improved operations, and these two recommendations that I'll go through momentarily certainly fell into that category.

Once the DMV Board adopted those, I did work with my staff to provide that information to our relevant committees that we work with regularly in the House and Senate, which for us we start with the House and Senate

Transportation committees.

1.3

2.3

So the information and draft language has been provided to those offices and submitted for drafting, and so nothing has been filed just yet, but I'll just run through these real quick.

The first recommended item is related to clarifying the ways in which funds can be recovered from when there's overpayments paid to insurance companies, things like that. This recommendation would clarify that this program, MVCPA, has the same authority as the Comptroller to recover those funds, and so it will be consistent with other processes followed in the state and then have the efficiencies related there.

Another recommendation is kind of cleaning up some of those statutes that have existed, including an outdated reference for MVCPA to report grant allocations to the Texas Department of Transportation for their district and county statistics report that they do every year.

And that's something that MVCPA reports separately, and that was a reporting requirement that was held over from when the function resided within TxDOT, and so it's something we felt would be helpful to remove from the statute to provide for more efficient reporting in that regard.

And so we have not received confirmation on authors for these bills just yet, but I have been working with Bryan and Chairman Hansen; they've given me some great leads, so to say, and so we are working to get those filed.

1.3

2.3

The filing deadline for bills this legislative session is March 12, so there's a couple more weeks to go before things can still be filed. But as we know, the capacity for this legislature is kind of running at its limits.

Coming into this with the pandemic was a certain challenge for them, and then adding in the latest storm event certainly has added other challenges that they're going to have to deal with. So we're definitely working on these as a priority for both DMV and MVCPA, but unfortunately I don't know how many promises I can make of what may or may not happen the legislature adjourns sine die at the end of May.

But with that, I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

MR. HANSEN: Not a question, just a comment.

Caroline has been extremely supportive and helpful with us on just about everything, including arranging the meeting we had with the governor's staff, so thank you very, very much for your effort.

1	MS. LOVE: You're very welcome. A great
2	program, happy to help.
3	MR. HANSEN: Any board members have any
4	questions for Ms. Love?
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I also want to thank you,
6	Caroline. You've been awesome, so thank you.
7	MS. LOVE: Thank you.
8	MR. HANSEN: Everybody needs to realize that
9	our relationship with the staff at DMV is tremendous, and
10	now that we are actually responsible by law to do a lot of
11	the stuff that directly connects to them, the title fraud
12	and those type of crimes, our appropriate funding is
13	beneficial for them as well, so we hope to get this moved
14	forward with that in mind.
15	Thank you, Caroline.
16	Anything else? We'll move on to the MVCPA
17	director's report.
18	MR. WILSON: Thank you, Chairman.
19	Thank you, Caroline, appreciate you being here.
20	So Mr. Chairman, this is Bryan Wilson, for the
21	record.
22	I'm going to ask Dan to do the budget update
23	Daniel Price to do the budget update on FY21.
24	MR. PRICE: For the record, this is Dan Price,
25	MVCPA staff auditor. We are on page 65 of the

presentation.

2.3

This is the current status of the FY21 budget. If you will please note, we currently have obligations for grants for \$11,854,802 of the \$12,367,142. We have expended \$136,683 so far, and that leaves a remaining balance of \$357,263, of which \$245,557 are salaries for the staff for the remainder of the year.

Also please note that, as Director Wilson mentioned earlier, we did have the UB balance discussion in section 3, which will bring us approximately \$200,000, which you all have gone ahead and allocated to Rapid Response Strikeforce programs, so those numbers will be updated on the future budgets to be reflected within the budgets. Currently they're a note and they're not included in these numbers.

Does anybody have any questions?

MR. HANSEN: Do board members have anything for Dan?

(No response.)

MR. HANSEN: Thank you, sir.

MR. PRICE: Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Okay, Mr. Chairman, continuing the director's report, I'll go ahead and take some of these other items.

So on page 66 I do want to show you that the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 taskforces, the wonderful law enforcement agents that we have throughout the state of Texas, recovered almost 13,000 vehicles last year, and that's a huge percentage of the overall vehicles recovered. The recoveries overall in the state of Texas, according to DPS records, have been going way down in the last two years, but our taskforces are collecting a larger percentage of those vehicles — of the fewer vehicles being recovered, our taskforces are doing a better job.

2.3

Cases cleared by arrest or exceptional means, the number of persons arrested was up this year. Number of burglary cases cleared, I think, was down a little bit because, again, with funding cuts you can't do everything, and so people are making choices, our law enforcement partners are making choices and deciding that motor vehicle theft is the priority, but even still, they still went out and did 134 cases of title and registration fraud and with 51 arrests.

That's the first year we've reported that, and people might look at it, you know, well, that's not very much. Well, when you think about our priorities and how many, almost a full billion dollars of losses just in motor vehicle theft, we have to prioritize, but that doesn't mean that the laws enforcement folks won't go out and arrest somebody for title or registration fraud.

And if you look at the first quarter results, you'll see that we're going to be on par to meet or exceed all of those standards in the next year. Of course, it's early in the year, but it looks like we're going to be way up for the whole year on these other categories.

2.3

I've kind of put an explanation on page 67 because sometimes I wonder if y'all wonder why the heck is Bryan bringing this stuff to me about grant adjustments, and I just wanted to write that little section at the top of page 67 to remind you that when you issue -- you know, when you do the awards in June or July, whatever month you do them, you're doing a contract.

This agency is entering into a contract with local governments, and then during the course of the year some of those contracts become unworkable or they need adjustments, and the reason we report his back to you each time is because it's your responsibility and we're doing it on your behalf under the policies, and of course, under the guidelines that the state authorizes us to do.

So I don't have carte blanche on anything, but I can make adjustments to move money into categories or authorize certain types of expenditures, and so every board meeting you always see this report in there to tell you that we are, in fact, providing you an update on what changes we've made to contracts so that as the board

oversight, the governance body of this agency, if you see something in there that's lacking or you want more information, then you would be able to ask us and say, Wait, that's a really large amount; I want more detail on that, or whatever.

2.3

So anyway, we do try to be really good about letting you know we're changing the contract from time to time. Overall, I would say that since I've been here we used to have hundreds of grant adjustments every quarter -- I mean every year, and now we're down to just a few dozen.

And that really reflects both the operational side of our grantees have gotten really good about managing their affairs and taking the responsibility to move money when they're authorized to do so without grant adjustments, and then also to doing a better job of planning out their year.

So on page 69 I did want to point out that we continue to have a presence on Facebook and Twitter and do everything we can to collaborate with those departments that have -- the few departments or grantees that still have a crime prevention specialist.

I know Laredo, El Paso -- we just lost Melissa

Castro in Corpus, but I think she's going to be replaced

soon, but a lot of places have given up because of the

funding.

1.3

2.3

I mean, am I going to let an officer go or a crime prevention person, and a lot of departments have had to just let their crime prevention to absorb some of the losses that we've incurred over the last several years.

And it's a detriment to us overall. I don't know how we'll regain that unless we get additional funding.

But anyway, just did want to give that we're getting some traction on social media, it's not great. We had a few ideas. but David Richards over in the legal department killed our little ideas -- just kidding, David. We were thinking about trying to do some giveaways through online media and stuff like that where we had trailer hitches and steering wheel locks to try to get people to like us, like a lot of businesses do, but there's really no room for that in state government to do that, so I appreciate David being there for a guardrail for us.

Page 70, I'll just go ahead and continue, Mr.

Chairman, the assessments and collections activities.

I've been reporting to you for several years we've really tried to step up collection on insurance agencies.

These are the list of the investigations that the Texas Department of Insurance have opened up on different agencies that did not file or did not pay.

They're not huge payers but, you know, we're doing our part to make sure that funds get collected appropriately. It would be better if we had dedicated staff to do this, but we'll keep doing it with Dan and me, and maybe Yessenia might even get involved.

2.3

So the next section I want to ask Ms. Benavides to join us and take on page 71.

MS. BENAVIDES: Good morning, everyone. For the record, this is Yessenia Benavides, program specialist at MVCPA.

On March 17 of last year Texas DMV directed all staff to begin telecommuting. Texas DMV is currently in phase two of the return to work plan and staff is coming in on a voluntary basis. MVCPA staff is volunteering to work in the office one day a week on different days of the week to limit physical contact.

Texas DMV also adopted a new telecommuting policy, and employees were required to complete training in CAPPSlearn. Additionally, they were required to submit a telecommuting agreement form that needed approval from their division chain of command.

To date there were 12 grantees requesting personal protective equipment, PPE, and in an effort to assist our partners and try to take care of them, MVCPA has shipped 2,800 face masks, 59,000 gloves, 215 bottles

of hand sanitizer, and 68 bottles of Clorox spray. 1 2 On page 72 of your board book you will see that a total of \$265,837 and some change was spent of MVCPA 3 program funds for COVID-19 expenses. 4 5 Are there any questions? 6 MR. HANSEN: Comment. You haven't been here 7 very long, but you've taken this bull by the horns and 8 stepped right up and have done a phenomenal job. 9 you. 10 MS. BENAVIDES: Thank you, Chairman. MR. WILSON: She owes us big time. She was 11 stuck up in Denver, and so now she gets to come back home 12 13 to Texas, so she's glad to be here, so she owes us. 14 MR. HANSEN: From snowy weather to snowy 15 weather. 16 MS. BENAVIDES: About 8 degrees. You know, I was telling my husband we lived in Colorado for about 15 17 years, and I don't think we have ever been so cold until 18 19 we moved to Texas during this past week. 20 (General laughter.) MR. WILSON: So I'll go ahead and continue the 21 22 back side of that, Mr. Chairman. 2.3 Thank you, Yessenia. I really appreciate you 24 coordinating all of this. 25

So I did put on the border security report.

apologize for not reporting very clearly but just letting you know that every six months the Legislative Budget Board, as part of our legal requirements provides that we turn in a border security report, an update. DMV does it on our behalf, so just letting you know that's going in on your behalf.

2.3

Just wanted to kind of update you. We have a statutory report due in April, we've been way behind and short-staffed, but just letting you know that we'll have it done.

It's the activity and funds report required under statute, and there's two different statutes that require that that be turned in, and in 2015 we started putting those together as a single report to the legislature.

One has a deadline of April 1, one doesn't have a deadline at all or due date, and so what we've done is just put them together and make them due on April 1.

We'll have it to you by March 15, and then you'll have an opportunity to comment before it gets turned in to the legislature.

I think the last thing, and then I'll let the chairman take the last pages of this book, but I did want to let you know, you already know that Mary Menoskey left and was promoted to another department, got a nice

promotion, and we miss her very much, and we didn't have table of contents and some of the fine things that she had down to a science, but we'll get back there with Yessenia.

2.3

The other thing is we had issued a program specialist 6 that we had adopted to replace the grant coordinator position to help us do the Rapid Response Strikeforces. We've interviewed, and the candidates either withdrew or did not accept our offer for employment, so I'll be getting with the Grants Committee to work through if we need to modify the job description and how to move forward. So we continue to be at least one person down at this time.

And that's all I have. If you want to talk about the last document in the book, Mr. Chairman, I'll let you do that.

MR. HANSEN: Well, on what you just mentioned, for the grantees, if you know of anybody that would be interested in the job. We've completely changed the dynamics of it, it's much more law enforcement oriented and so it would be perfect for a retired commander or project director. We had some good candidates, and I thought we had it figured out and it didn't work out.

And Bryan, I assume you're talking about the NICB document?

MR. WILSON: Yes, sir.

MR. HANSEN: Okay.

2.3

Most of the board members have gotten this, as well as the grantees, I've sent out this to everybody.

This is really a phenomenal overall summary document, a clear picture of our program, what we do and our funding dilemma, and NICB did a phenomenal job with this and we've been actually using it and sharing it with a lot of legislative offices and everybody else because it's a dynamic document for being a couple of pages, it makes it very clear what we're all about. So please share that, send it out, do what you need to do, but kudos to NICB for this document.

Any board members have any comments on anything from Mr. Wilson's report, or the NICB report, any comments?

MR. GAUSE: Mr. Chairman, is that a stand-alone document?

MR. WILSON: Absolutely.

MR. HANSEN: Yes. In fact, I've got a lot of copies to take with me next week to hand out to share with people that I hope to visit with the next week or so at the Capitol.

MR. WILSON: So, Mr. Chairman, I know the last item is the open comment section. I do want to ask Ms. Benavides, have we received any requests for the open

comment section? 1 2 MS. BENAVIDES: Yessenia Benavides, for the 3 record. 4 No, sir, we have not. 5 MR. HANSEN: Thank you. 6 And we don't have anything else for executive 7 session, do we, Mr. Richards? 8 MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, we do not. 9 MR. HANSEN: Okay. Before we make a motion for 10 adjournment, can I make a comment, Mr. Richards? 11 MR. RICHARDS: Absolutely. 12 MR. HANSEN: Particularly for the grantees and 13 the board members, over the last few weeks we've had 14 extensive communications with a number of legislative offices and staff. 15 We met with the Texas Chiefs of Police 16 17 Association group, and they were very, very receptive of our problem, because it affects many of the taskforces, 18 19 and you all have people in that. 20 There's also a legislative group of representatives of a lot of the major police agencies or 21 22 larger agencies, and we have people who are actually at 2.3 the Capitol, and I cannot -- as Mr. Richards reminds us 24 that we cannot ask you to do certain things, but I would

highly encourage that your chiefs and your sheriffs

25

through your legislative people communicate the stories that we just heard from the three sheriffs today to their legislative constituents. I can't stress that enough.

2.3

We've also been in communication with the Sheriffs' Association, and they've created a top ten list of what's on their hit parade, and as far as I saw, I think we're number two on that list, so I would encourage our previous guest sheriffs to really communicate with their association as well because they've got this.

So we really need the support of everybody to encourage this and get your agencies and chiefs and sheriffs involved because phone calls from them carry a much bigger stick than we can individually. I'm trying to be politically correct here.

MR. WILSON: I'm sure you're just encouraging them to be a resource wherever they can be a resource.

MR. HANSEN: Be a valuable resource. And this document from NICB is a very good summary document of the whole situation.

If anybody has any questions on the taskforces,

I sent out a bunch of this stuff to each and every

taskforce a week or so ago, and I would encourage and

hopefully that they're using them. I know several of the

agencies have because based on communications we had with

the legislative group of the representatives of larger

Please

agencies this week we met with them, so behind the scenes 1 there's a lot going on, so thank you. 3 And then next week, Monday we're presenting to 4 the House Appropriations Committee and then Tuesday to the 5 Senate Finance Committee. So there you have it. 6 Does anybody have anything else? 7 (No response.) 8 MR. HANSEN: Well, we made it. I quess we 9 should have a motion to adjourn unless anybody has any 10 other business. Are we in line with that, Mr. Richards? Do we have a motion to adjourn? 11 12 MS. BENAVIDES: I apologize. This is Yessenia 13 Benavides. I did just receive an email from Captain 14 Sheila Carter from Dallas County Auto Theft Taskforce. 15 She did want to comment on section 5.F, the match ratios and amounts. 16 17 MR. HANSEN: Okay. Can we go back to that now? MR. RICHARDS: 18 Sure. 19 MR. HANSEN: Okay. Connect her on, Yessenia. MS. BENAVIDES: Give me one second so that I 20 21 may find her on the attendee list. 22 We will now hear from Sheila -- I apologize if 2.3 I'm not saying your name correctly -- Carter-Bass from 24 Dallas County Auto Theft Taskforce. 25

I'm going to repeat the instructions.

raise your hand using the instructions provided to you, and please be mindful about limiting any background noise. Also, please state your name for the record and if you are representing anyone. You will have three minutes; you will be muted by the host after speaking for three minutes.

1.3

2.3

MS. CARTER-BASS: Sheila Carter-Bass, Dallas County Sheriff's Department Auto Theft Taskforce. Good morning to the board and all attendees.

In reference to the 20 percent requirement for the cash match, and also taking in consideration that there might be a funding rejection, I wanted to ask if the board could consider making some kind of adjustment as far as how program income match funds are reimbursed.

And Mr. Wilson, you probably can help me articulate this better, but I understand that when you use program income funds as a cash match, it impacts the way that the reimbursement is done in an adverse way to the host agency.

And so my question is can there be some consideration toward that that may be used as an incentive for the host agency, especially when a lot of resources are going out and not actually credited to those agencies in support of a taskforce, and that is both in equipment and personnel.

Thank you.

2.3

MR. HANSEN: Mr. Wilson, do you want to respond to her?

MR. WILSON: I mean, this might be something we can contact offline. I think there might be a misconception. I realize that when agencies introduce cash match after the grant award has already been done it looks like it's reducing the amount, but when you increase the budget you end up paying a smaller share.

So if you have a 100,000 grant and just say your match was 20 percent of that 100,000, if you introduce 50,000 into the grant, obviously you've just added 50,000 so that the percentage of reimbursement goes down but you've still got the 50,000 in there.

And so I think it comes across -- you heard this, and I think other grantees have mentioned this in the past to the board, but it's really just a math problem. I don't know how to fix it.

Again, the standards in state law, unless the board specifically grants another authorization, that all program income has to be reduced from the grant payment. So if I give you a \$500,000 grant and you go out and generate \$100,000, then I only owe you \$400,000. That's what the state law says.

What this board has historically done is

1 allowed grantees to introduce the program to augment or add to rather than reduce the payments that we make. So 3 when you do that, when you put that extra money into the 4 budget, the reimbursement as a percent is smaller but it's 5 actually the same amount. We didn't do anything, it's 6 just the way they perceive it. 7 I don't know how else to address it. I've tried, but if you raise the total value of a program, it's 8 9 going to reduce the overall percent. So I apologize to 10 Captain Bass, and I'll contact her offline to see if we 11 can work through what's actually happening there. 12 MR. HANSEN: Captain, thank you for your 13 comments, and thank you for what you guys do. 14 Okay. Any other comments, Ms. Benavides, or is 15 this the last one? 16 MS. BENAVIDES: No more comments. 17 MR. HANSEN: Okay. Unless the board has anything else they'd like to add or comments? 18 19 (No response.) 20 MR. HANSEN: Unless there's any further 21 business, I'd like to entertain a motion to adjourn. 22 MR. MIZANI: Thank you, Chairman and thank you 2.3 to the staff. This is Armin Mizani. I make a motion to 24 adjourn.

MR. HANSEN: Motion by Mr. Mizani.

25

1	MS. HUNTER: Ashley Hunter seconds.
2	MR. HANSEN: Second by Ms. Hunter.
3	All in favor say aye.
4	(A chorus of ayes.)
5	MR. HANSEN: And according to my computer, it
6	is 11:14 a.m. We will terminate the call.
7	Thank you everyone. God bless you and be safe,
8	please.
9	(Whereupon, at 11:14 a.m., the meeting was
10	adjourned.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: Motor Vehicle Crime Prevention Authority Austin, Texas 4 LOCATION: 5 DATE: February 25, 2021 6 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 7 numbers 1 through 88, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 8 9 made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 10 11 DATE: March 9, 2021 12 13 14 15 16 17 /s/ Nancy H. King (Transcriber) 18 19 20 On the Record Reporting 21 7703 N. Lamar Blvd. #515

22

23 24 Austin, Texas 78752